

# Set Theory

## Lecture 8

axiom of choice, cardinal arithmetic

## Recap

### Ordinal and cardinal numbers

- ▶ Each well-ordered set can be identified with a unique **ordinal number**.
- ▶ Cardinal numbers are “representatives” among (well-ordered) sets with the same cardinalities.
- ▶ An ordinal number  $\alpha$  is a **cardinal number** if  $|\beta| \neq |\alpha|$  for all  $\beta < \alpha$ .
  - ▶  $\alpha$  is also called an initial ordinal with the property that  $\alpha$  is not equinumerous to any  $\beta < \alpha$ .
  - ▶ Using cardinal numbers, we can characterize the cardinality of any (well-ordered) sets.

### Definition (cardinality)

Let  $W$  be any well-ordered set, the **cardinality** of  $W$ , denoted by  $|W|$ , is the least ordinal  $\alpha$  such that  $|W| = |\alpha|$ .

**Question:** Which sets can be well-ordered?

## Well-orderable Sets

**Cantor's Well-ordering Principle:** Every set  $X$  can be well ordered by some binary relation ( $\preceq$ ) defined on  $X$ .

- ▶ “A law of thought which appears to me to be fundamental, rich in its consequences, and particularly remarkable for its general validity.” (Cantor 1883)

### “Proof”.

Given a set  $X$ , let  $a$  be an element that is not in  $X$ . Define a one-to-one function from some ordinal  $\lambda$  onto  $X$  as follows

$$f(0) = \begin{cases} \text{some element of } X & \text{if } X \neq \emptyset, \\ a & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
$$f(1) = \begin{cases} \text{some element of } X - \{f(0)\} & \text{if } X - \{f(0)\} \neq \emptyset, \\ a & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

## Well-orderable Sets

### “Proof” (continued).

In general,

$$f(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \text{some element of } X - \text{ran}(f \upharpoonright \alpha) & \text{if } X - \text{ran}(f \upharpoonright \alpha) \neq \emptyset, \\ a & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $\lambda$  be the least ordinal such that  $f(\lambda) = a$ , then we establish an well-ordering of  $X$  (via  $f$  and ordinal  $\lambda$ ).

- ▶ There is however a glitch in this “proof”: how do we know this function  $f$  exists?

Recall that to justify  $f$  we use transfinite recursion, but this means that there has to be an operation  $G$  already defined on  $X$  s.t.

$$G(f \upharpoonright \alpha) \in X - \text{ran}(f \upharpoonright \alpha) \quad \text{if } X - \text{ran}(f \upharpoonright \alpha) \neq \emptyset,$$
$$G(f \upharpoonright \alpha) = a \quad \text{otherwise.}$$

## Well-orderable Sets

### Definition (choice function)

Let  $S$  be any system of sets. A function  $g$  on  $S$  is called a **choice function** for  $S$  if  $g(Y) \in Y$  for any nonempty  $Y \in S$ .

- ▶ To fill the gap in the “proof” above, **assume** that such a choice function  $g$  exists for  $\mathcal{P}(X)$ , **then** the missing operation  $G$  can be defined as

$$G(x) = \begin{cases} g(X - \text{ran}x) & \text{if } x \text{ is a function and } X - \text{ran}(x) \neq \emptyset, \\ a & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- ▶ This leads to the following result by Zermelo (1904/08).

### Theorem (Well-ordering)

*A set  $X$  is well orderable if (and only if)  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  has a choice function.*

## The Axiom of Choice

**Axiom of Choice (AC):** There exists a choice function for every system of sets.

In symbols:  $\forall X [\emptyset \notin X \rightarrow (\exists f : X \rightarrow \bigcup X) (\forall x \in X) f(x) \in x]$

### Some consequences of the Axiom of Choice

1. For every infinite set  $S$  there exists a unique aleph  $\aleph_\alpha$  such that  $|S| = \aleph_\alpha$ .
2. Every infinite set has a countable subset.
3. If  $f$  is a function and  $A$  is a set, then  $|f[A]| \leq |A|$ .
4. The union of a countable collection of countable sets is countable (mentioned in Lecture 5).
5. The set of all reals is not the union of countably many countable sets.
6. The Continuum Hypothesis:  $2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_1$ .

## The Axiom of Choice

### Equivalent formulations of the Axiom of Choice (in ZF)

1. (Well-ordering Theorem) Every set can be well-ordered.
2. (Zorn's Lemma) If every chain in a partially ordered set  $P$  has an upper bound then  $P$  has a maximal element.

### A brief chronology of AC

- 1904/08 Zermelo explicitly formulates AC and uses it to prove the well-ordering theorem.
- 1922 Fraenkel introduces the a method to establish independence of AC from set theory with atoms.
- 1924 Banach and Tarski derive from AC their paradoxical decompositions of the sphere.
- 1935-8 Gödel proves relative consistency of AC with ZF.
- 1963 Cohen proves independence of AC from ZF.

## Cardinal Arithmetic

In the presence of AC, every set is well-orderable and has a unique cardinal number as its cardinality. We can define an arithmetic among cardinal numbers.

### Definition (addition)

$\kappa + \lambda = |A \cup B|$ , where  $|A| = \kappa$ ,  $|B| = \lambda$ , and  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ .

### Some properties of cardinal addition

1.  $\kappa + \lambda = \lambda + \kappa$ .
  - ▶ Unlike ordinal arithmetic, cardinal addition is commutative.
2.  $\kappa + (\lambda + \mu) = (\kappa + \lambda) + \mu$ .
3.  $\kappa \leq \kappa + \lambda$ .
4. If  $\kappa_1 \leq \kappa_2$  and  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$ , then  $\kappa_1 + \lambda_1 \leq \kappa_2 + \lambda_2$ .

**N.B.** Not all laws of addition of number hold for addition of cardinals.

- ▶ As noted above,  $\aleph_0 + \aleph_0 = \aleph_0$ .

## Cardinal Arithmetic

### Definition (multiplication)

$\kappa \cdot \lambda = |A \times B|$ , where  $|A| = \kappa$  and  $|B| = \lambda$ .

### Some properties of cardinal multiplication

1.  $\kappa \times \lambda = \lambda \times \kappa$ .
  - ▶ Unlike ordinals, cardinal multiplication is commutative.
2.  $\kappa \cdot (\lambda \cdot \mu) = (\kappa \cdot \lambda) \cdot \mu$
3.  $\kappa \cdot (\lambda + \mu) = (\kappa \cdot \lambda) + (\kappa \cdot \mu)$ .
  - ▶  $A \times (B \cup C) = (A \times B) \cup (A \times C)$ .
4.  $\kappa \leq \kappa \cdot \lambda$  if  $\lambda > 0$ .
5. If  $\kappa_1 \leq \kappa_2$  and  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$ , then  $\kappa_1 \cdot \lambda_1 \leq \kappa_2 \cdot \lambda_2$ .
6.  $\kappa + \kappa = 2 \cdot \kappa$ .
  - ▶ If  $|A| = \kappa$ , then  $2 \cdot \kappa$  is the cardinal of  $\{0, 1\} \times A$ .
7.  $\kappa + \kappa \leq \kappa \cdot \kappa$ , when  $\kappa \geq 2$ .

## Cardinal Arithmetic

### Definition (exponentiation)

$\kappa^\lambda = |A^B|$ , where  $|A| = \kappa$  and  $|B| = \lambda$ .

- ▶  $A^B$  is the set of all functions mapping from  $B$  to  $A$ .

### Some properties of cardinal multiplication

1.  $\kappa \leq \kappa^\lambda$  if  $\lambda > 0$ .
2.  $\lambda \leq \kappa^\lambda$  if  $\kappa > 1$ .
3. If  $\kappa_1 \leq \kappa_2$  and  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$ , then  $\kappa_1^{\lambda_1} \leq \kappa_2^{\lambda_2}$ .
4.  $\kappa \cdot \kappa = \kappa^2$ .
  - ▶ To show this, let  $|A| = \kappa$ , find one-to-one correspondence between  $A \times A$  and  $A^{\{0,1\}}$  (i.e., the set of all functions mapping from  $\{0, 1\}$  into  $A$ ).

## Cardinal Arithmetic

### Theorem

1.  $\kappa^{\lambda+\mu} = \kappa^\lambda \cdot \kappa^\mu$ .
2.  $(\kappa^\lambda)^\mu = \kappa^{\lambda \cdot \mu}$ .

*Proof.*

## Continuum Hypothesis Again

### Theorem

$|\mathcal{P}(X)| = 2^{|X|}$  for every set  $X$ .

*Proof.*

### The GCH

Cantor's Theorem can now be restated in terms of any cardinals

$$\kappa < 2^\kappa \quad \text{for all cardinal } \kappa.$$

The Generalized Continuum Hypothesis (GCH) says that for any ordinal  $\alpha$ ,

$$2^{\aleph_\alpha} = \aleph_{\alpha+1}.$$